HISTORIC AMERICAN TIMBER JOINERY

A Graphic Guide

IV. Wall and Brace Joints

This article is fourth in a series of six to discuss and illustrate the joints in American traditional timber-framed buildings of the past, showing common examples with variations as well as a few interesting regional deviations. The series was developed under a grant from the National Park Service and the National Center for Preservation Technology and Training. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the author and do not represent the official position of the NPS or the NCPTT. Previous articles, which appeared consecutively in TF 55-57, covered Tying Joints: Tie below Plate, Tying Joints: Tie at Plate, and Sill and Floor Joints. The remaining articles in the series will cover Roof Joinery and Scarf Joints.

Wall Joinery: Horizontal Sheathing. When a timber-framed building was sheathed horizontally, typically with clapboards, the supporting framework provided vertical nailers called studs, spaced according to the type of sheathing applied. We may find studs centered as closely as 16 in. for ½-in. clapboards or as far apart as 5 ft. on Dutch barns, where the clapboards might be 1x14 lapped boards.

The barefaced mortise and tenon joint typically secures studs to sill, girt, tie beam and plate. Since a barefaced tenon has only a single shoulder, it is very quick to cut. In a scribe rule building, these studs are not usually housed into the mortised piece. In a square rule building, they are typically housed at one or both ends (Fig. 1).

If pinned, tenons are typically twice as long as they are thick (for example, a 1½-in. tenon would be 3 in. long). Unpinned joints are common, as are stub tenons. Stub tenons are the same length as their thickness. Square rule buildings typically have stub tenons at the bottom of studs and pinned tenons at the top, to keep the studs from falling out during the rearing of assemblies but to allow easy insertion of the many studs into the sill mortises as a bent or wall approaches vertical.

The most common stud sizes were 3x4 and 4x4. The 3x4 could be framed flat to the wall with a 1½-in. shoulder and a 1½-in. tenon, or turned on edge for a 2-in. shoulder and a 2-in. tenon. Four by fours were typically framed with a 2-in. shoulder and a 2-in. tenon. In some larger public buildings where the framing was concealed, the studs were as wide as the principal posts so that the interior walls had no protrusions (facing page, Fig. 2). Studs were commonly 6, 7, or 8 in. wide and from 2 to 4 in. thick in such structures. Obviously the barefaced tenon wouldn’t work here.

Fig. 1. Typical barefaced tenon wall studs in a square rule building. The top surface of the girt here is a “face” (a reference surface) and thus has no housings. The lower surface is reduced at the housings to the size of the ideal-timber-within. It is common for studs to have pinned tenons at the top end and stub tenons at the bottom.
Where a stud met a brace or rafter, it was rarely mortised in. The stud would be fitted after the frame was reared. Typically it was butted and nailed (Fig. 3). A less common but stronger solution was to use a beveled lap joint (Fig. 4). It too was secured with nails.

This partially withdrawn stud in a barn in Shaftsbury, Vermont, was relieved at its end to make its tenon barefaced. Uncommonly, the relief cut was not angled, and gives the appearance of a second cheek and shoulder.

Fig. 2. In churches where the framing was concealed by plaster, studding was commonly as wide as the main timbers, to present a flush appearance on the interior. Here, 2x8 studs are framed by the square rule. Two-in. studs are rarely pinned and occasionally nailed. Tenons on 2-in. stock are quickly sawn out. The mortises require just a single bore.

Fig. 3. Studs are subservient to braces (which must be uninterrupted to do their work well) and consequently are merely cut to match the angle and secured with nails. However, the other end of such studs is still tenoned.

Fig. 4. A beveled lap provides a sound solution to inserting studs after erection. It is a joint easily fashioned in situ.
WALL JOINERY FOR VERTICAL SHEATHING. When buildings are sheathed vertically, horizontal girts are framed in for support. In barns, where girts are mostly found, these are spaced from 2 to 6 ft. apart. In plank houses where the walls are sheathed in thicker planks, the girts occur only at the floor levels.

Girt joinery closely resembles stud joinery. The barefaced tenon is common, as are the sizes 3x4 and 4x4 (Fig. 5). Many barns built before the advent of the circular sawmill used girts hewn on only one face and squared up to a consistent size only at the joints (Fig. 6). For girts with longer spans or with floor loads to support as well, a standard mortise and tenon replaced the barefaced tenon (Fig. 7).

Fig. 5. Barefaced and pinned girts in a typical scribe rule building.

Fig. 6. Barefaced and pinned girts in a typical square rule building. To save on hewing, these members were flattened only on the face receiving the wall sheathing. The ends are squared up at the joint. The post has its ideal-timber-within centered so the girts are housed both sides.

Fig. 7. Wall girts at floor level in a scribe rule building. These load-bearing girts rely on standard mortise and tenon joints with diminished bearing shoulders.

BRACE JOINERY. Few timber-framed buildings were built without diagonal bracing. In the era before plywood sheathing, braces conferred a measure of rigidity to frames and prevented distortion from wind and seismic loads. They can function in compression, tension or both, but braces are counted upon to act primarily in compression and consequently occur in opposing pairs. Many surviving barns have unpinned, stub-tenoned braces, proving the latter’s compression-only function. Lap-dovetailed braces, found typically in Dutch barns, appear by their shape to be able to handle tensile loads as well.

Tenoned Braces. The vast majority of tenoned braces are barefaced, as with studs and girts. Braces in frames built using the earlier scribe rule system might have no bearing shoulder (Fig. 8, left) or a diminished bearing shoulder (Fig. 8, right). The latter configuration is clearly superior, adding to the tenon end an addi-
tional bearing surface at the nose of the brace, but it requires additional cutting time at the mortise. Square rule braces, meanwhile, would need no housing if entering the face (reference surface) of a timber, but, if entering an opposite surface, would be housed deep enough to meet the specified dimension of the ideal-timber-within (Fig. 9, below left). The brace itself might or might not have a bearing shoulder (typically undiminished or parallel), as the carpenter saw fit (Fig. 9). Again, the better choice was to provide the additional bearing at the nose of the brace. In square rule structures, a gap is usual at the unloaded end of the brace mortise, to allow for variations in width of the brace stock, or reflecting cross-grain shrinkage of the brace.

Pinned braces have tenons twice as long as their thickness. As with studs, braces occasionally have stub tenons without pins.

In some scribe rule framing, the mortise at one end of a brace is elongated and filled with a carefully made block (Fig. 10 left). This packing piece may be pinned and have an identification mark. Its purpose was to speed the scribing process by allowing a brace to be cut and inserted without taking apart the assembly. This technique was also used to insert a tenoned brace into a frame after erection.

Where heavy loading was anticipated, carpenters used the standard mortise and tenon with either the diminished or parallel bearing shoulder (Fig. 11 below). In some Dutch barns, massive braces as large as 9x12 support the anchorbeams.

---

Fig. 9. Housed square rule braces with and without bearing shoulders. The brace on the left would be unhoused if meeting a “face.”

Fig. 10. Brace with packing piece. After the opposite end of this brace was inserted into its mortise, this end was swung into place. A carefully fitted block, here secured with a pin, filled the extra mortise length.

Fig. 11. Square rule brace variations. At top, left to right, barefaced, half-housed and full-housed mortises. Above, full and barefaced tenons. The full tenon joint would be chosen for expected heavy loads.
Lapped Braces. Primarily found in early scribe rule frames, lapped braces display three variations: simple, dovetailed and notched. The simple lapped brace may be half-lapped (let in half its depth) or full-lapped (let in full depth), as shown Fig. 12. A squarish pin secures the brace from popping out and gives it some modest tensile capacity. The advantage of these simple lapped braces was in the labor they saved during scribing and cutting. Time has proved their effectiveness.

The half-dovetail lap improved tensile performance with only slightly more labor (Fig. 13). As the brace is pulled, the dovetail jams on the nonparallel edges of its housing. But shrinkage after cutting diminishes the effectiveness of the dovetail, whereupon the pin must again handle tensile load. Full-dovetail laps (both sides angled) were rare.

The notched lap (Fig. 14) worked differently from the half dovetail, and it was less affected by shrinkage. However, it entailed more cutting time. There was less sawing and more chopping. Interestingly, this joint is found on one of the oldest surviving timber-framed barns in England, the Barley barn at Cressing Temple ca. 1200. The joint persisted in America (though in limited use) until the end of the scribe rule era.

Special bracing types. Other devices were used than the standard diagonal brace to stiffen a structure. Early Dutch houses occasionally have “corbels” that function both as brace and (like the masonry counterpart) as support. In some German, as well as French, Swiss, Polish and Danish structures, the walls include distinctly leaning studs (streben in German, écharpes in French). These serve both as post and brace, thus saving framing time and often carrying bracing loads directly from plate to sill. Finally, in coastal communities with a shipbuilding tradition, carpenters sometimes resorted to naturally crooked ship’s knees for bracing, which gained headroom and shortened effective beam spans.

—Jack A. Sobon
Fig. 15. Some early Dutch houses used short, solid braces called corbels, which protrude less into the interior space than standard braces and form a decorative feature. This example is from an 18th-century house (now dismantled) in Muitzenkill, N.Y., on the upper Hudson River.

To conserve headroom, knees were used in buildings as well as ships. Cut from the tough root-trunk or trunk-branch portion of the tree, they were pinned or bolted to the post and crossbeam. This one stiffens a Kennebunkport, Maine, gristmill and restaurant.

Dutch barns often had extremely long braces. In this upstate New York example, they are half-lapped where they cross. In Dutch houses, where wall posts are closely spaced, builders might use passing braces to achieve a long (and so more effective) brace length. In this Alford, Massachusetts, house, undergoing renovations, the brace passes one post where it is lapped its full thickness and secured with a pin. Below, in lieu of normal braces, some German carpenters would angle a stud to serve as both brace and stud, as in this structure in Ephrata, Pennsylvania.

To conserve headroom, knees were used in buildings as well as ships. Cut from the tough root-trunk or trunk-branch portion of the tree, they were pinned or bolted to the post and crossbeam. This one stiffens a Kennebunkport, Maine, gristmill and restaurant.